



Applications of the Chaos Approach on Transportation Systems – A Review

Yessy Yusnita^{1,2}, Nur Hamiza Adenan^{1*}, and Angelalia Roza^{1,2}

¹Dept. of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Mathematics, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Tanjung Malim, Malaysia.

²Dept. of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Institute Technology of Padang, Padang, Indonesia.

KEYWORDS

*Chaos Theory
Traffic Flow Prediction
Nonlinear Dynamics
Real-Time Forecasting*

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 20 June 2025

Received in revised form

26 August 2025

Accepted 27 October 2025

Available online 20 November 2025

ABSTRACT

Chaos theory offers a robust analytical lens for interpreting the nonlinear and dynamic nature of transportation systems, particularly in relation to congestion management and incident propagation. This review consolidates global applications of chaos theory in traffic studies by examining its integration with classical mathematical models, machine learning techniques, and sensitivity analyses of complex traffic datasets. The methodology synthesizes findings from studies conducted in the United States, Slovenia, Germany, Iran, and China. For example, several studies reported prediction accuracy improvements of up to 15–25% when Lyapunov exponent-based features were combined with machine learning models. Chaos-based simulations also demonstrated a 30% reduction in noise sensitivity compared to conventional approaches, with observed Lyapunov exponents typically ranging from 0.1 to 0.5, indicating pronounced chaotic behaviour in short-term traffic dynamics. Despite these promising outcomes, practical challenges persist, particularly in embedding chaos-based models into real-time Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), due to noise interference and infrastructure constraints. The novelty of this paper lies in bridging theoretical foundations with empirical case studies to propose a conceptual framework for integrating chaos theory into real-time traffic forecasting systems, thereby offering actionable insights for adaptive, data-driven urban mobility management.

© 2025 The Authors. Published by Penteract Technology.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

1. INTRODUCTION

Chaos theory has increasingly gained recognition as a valuable approach to understanding the intricate and nonlinear behavior of traffic systems. Urban traffic is naturally volatile and highly dependent on initial conditions, which limits the effectiveness of traditional linear modeling approaches in capturing its unpredictable behavior [1], [2]. With ongoing urban expansion, traffic congestion, and the spread of incidents becoming more common and severe, there is a need for advanced models that can both describe and forecast these dynamics accurately [3], [4]. Empirical research has demonstrated that chaotic dynamics embedded within traffic patterns can uncover critical insights into spatiotemporal inconsistencies and system instability [5], [6].

Despite the theoretical strengths of chaos-based models, their implementation in real-world transportation systems

remains relatively limited. This limitation is primarily attributed to several persistent challenges, including noisy and incomplete sensor data, difficulties in selecting optimal model parameters, and the complexity of embedding chaotic algorithms into existing Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) infrastructures [7], [8]. These technical constraints often hinder the scalability and reliability of chaos-based approaches, particularly in large urban networks with heterogeneous traffic conditions. Moreover, the lack of standardized methodologies for detecting and validating chaotic behavior in traffic data further complicates practical adoption. Researchers also face difficulties in reconciling chaos theory with conventional traffic engineering frameworks, which tend to favor linear and equilibrium-based models. As a result, despite its conceptual appeal, chaos theory remains underutilized in mainstream traffic management applications.

*Corresponding author:

E-mail address: Nur Hamiza Adenan <hamieza@fsmt.upsi.edu.my>

<https://doi.org/10.56532/mjsat.v5i4.557>

2785-8901/ © 2025 The Authors. Published by Penteract Technology.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

The practical relevance of chaos theory in transportation research has gained traction globally, particularly when supported by robust data quality and adequate infrastructure. In China and Iran, researchers have utilized extensive, high-resolution traffic datasets to construct chaos-informed predictive models, which have yielded significant gains in forecasting accuracy, especially within heavily congested urban corridors [9], [10]. In contrast, investigations in Slovenia and Germany have focused on specific roadway types, such as ring roads and freeway networks, applying diagnostic tools like Lyapunov exponents and the 0–1 test to uncover nonlinear traffic dynamics [16], [37]. Meanwhile, studies conducted in the United States highlight the critical role of temporal granularity in identifying chaotic patterns, noting that such behaviors tend to emerge only at finer time scales and often vanish when data is aggregated [10].

Chaos-based traffic models really prove their worth when they're able to adjust to the specific conditions of each region, not just in theory, but in practice. Their performance depends significantly on factors such as the quality of the traffic data, the complexity of the road network, and whether the infrastructure is capable of supporting more advanced systems [7], [8]. Local differences, such as how people drive, the mix of vehicles on the road, or the city's layout, can significantly impact how well a model performs in one location versus another [10], [25], [46]. That's why future work should focus on fine-tuning these models to match local conditions and exploring how to make traffic data more consistent across regions. This adaptability is what gives chaos-based methods their edge: they're better at spotting early signs of disruption, keeping up with rapid changes, and providing a more accurate picture of how traffic really behaves day-to-day [1], [11], [23].

This paper provides a closer examination of how chaos theory is applied in various regions to comprehend and manage traffic flow, particularly as cities expand and evolve rapidly. Instead of just sticking to theory, it blends classic math-based approaches with newer tools, such as machine learning and sensitivity analysis, to demonstrate how unpredictable traffic patterns actually play out in practice [4], [14]. What makes this work different is how it connects the dots between what's happening in real-world traffic management and the deeper ideas behind it, offering down-to-earth ways to use chaos theory in everyday situations. The goal is simple: to help cities make better, more flexible decisions based on real conditions, something that matters more than ever as traffic systems become increasingly complex [15], [33].

2. APPLICATIONS AND CHAOS THEORY

Chaos theory expands the investigative framework and presents a new approach to comprehending and forecasting real-world phenomena, delivering profound insights into complex systems across various disciplines [4], [10], [11]. Chaos theory applications investigate complex systems with sensitive dependence on initial conditions [10]. The foundations of chaos theory emerged from Edward Lorenz's meteorological research in the 1960s, which revealed the sensitivity of weather systems to initial conditions [11]. This theory aims to explore seemingly random data patterns and offers a valuable approach to addressing unpredictable behaviour.

Chaos theory isn't just a concept tucked away in physics textbooks; it's found its way into all kinds of real-world applications. In weather forecasting, for example, it helps scientists understand how tiny shifts in atmospheric conditions can lead to significant changes, a discovery that dates back to Edward Lorenz's groundbreaking work [18], [38]. In healthcare, it's been used to decode irregular patterns in things like heartbeats, offering insight into conditions that don't follow a steady rhythm [3], [21]. And when it comes to economics, chaos theory provides a lens through which to view financial markets that behave unpredictably, helping analysts make sense of sudden swings that traditional models often overlook [20].

At first glance, fields like meteorology, medicine, and economics might seem worlds apart from traffic systems [12]. But they all share a common thread: they're shaped by complex, constantly shifting conditions where even the smallest change can trigger a ripple effect. A slight drop in air pressure can spark a storm, just as a brief slowdown on the road can snowball into gridlock. These systems don't follow neat, predictable rules, and that's precisely where chaos theory shines. The fact that chaos-based models have already proven helpful in forecasting weather, interpreting irregular heart rhythms, and understanding volatile markets suggests they hold real promise for traffic management as well [2], [5]. It's not just a lucky coincidence; it's a testament to the adaptability and insightfulness of these models when applied to complex, real-world problems like urban mobility. They help us see patterns in the chaos, and that's a powerful tool for building smarter, more responsive cities.

Practical applications and ongoing scientific research have established chaos theory as a robust framework for understanding complex systems and phenomena in dynamic environments. Research generally requires trend modelling, the detection of chaotic elements within data, and the utilization of chaos theory techniques to clarify an event [13]. Chaos theory is regarded as beneficial in the transportation sector. Applications may employ chaos theory to analyze intricate traffic flow patterns and examine highly complicated systems [12], [14]. Traffic congestion can induce nonlinearity in dynamic traffic settings. Chaos theory can be employed to analyse traffic flow inside urban transportation network systems [16]. It commences with the identification and characterization of chaotic dynamic systems [17].

2.1 Characterization of Traffic Dynamics

The traffic dynamics characterization is a systems thinking method that focuses on gaining insight into how the different elements of traffic interact and behave on a particular road [18]. This definition embodies sensitivity to initial conditions; small changes to a parameter, such as the speed or vehicle separation rate, may cause considerable changes in traffic flow [19]. This phenomenon, known as the butterfly effect, illustrates how significantly a transportation system can be influenced by small changes [20]. Furthermore, traffic dynamics are often nonlinear, with a substantially greater magnitude difference between different factors. For example, traditional linear modelling does not account for the steep drop in speed that can occur following high levels of vehicle congestion.

In traffic, it's possible to analyze and predict data such as flows, congestion, or even vehicle movements (depending on the studied scenario) using mathematical models based on chaos theory [21]. This means it covers some aspects of the

transport network's complex and irregular topology, which is characterized by the alternating pattern of components found in chaos theory. Authorities can consider this characterization approach, which examines the fundamental dynamics of traffic flow to understand its behavior, thereby informing better transportation network planning and ultimately reducing congestion and improving road safety [22].

This sensitivity to initial conditions, commonly referred to as the butterfly effect, is manifested in urban traffic scenarios. For example, a slight delay in acceleration by a single vehicle at a traffic light can propagate through the system, prompting subsequent vehicles to brake or decelerate in response. Such a minor disturbance may generate shockwaves that extend hundreds of meters downstream, particularly under high-density conditions, thereby triggering phantom traffic jams with no apparent cause [19], [20], [31].

Phase space analysis further corroborates this behavior. In simulated traffic data from congested intersections, trajectory divergence plots reveal that even when two vehicles begin with nearly identical initial speeds and headways, their trajectories diverge exponentially within a short time frame. This phenomenon corresponds to positive Lyapunov exponent values, typically ranging from 0.2 to 0.5, which signify strong chaotic dynamics [22], [46]. These empirical patterns underscore the urgent need for real-time forecasting systems capable of detecting and responding to subtle variations in traffic inputs, as their cumulative effects may induce large-scale flow instability [27], [31].

2.2 Traffic Flow Prediction

Traffic flow prediction is a crucial component of intelligent transportation systems, designed to forecast future traffic conditions and enhance efficiency by mitigating congestion [23]. The issue of traffic congestion can significantly impact daily life, particularly in metropolitan areas. If the issue of traffic congestion is not addressed through practical strategies, it will become increasingly critical and have a wide-ranging impact across various sectors. The strategy can be classified into short-term and long-term prediction approaches, each possessing distinct advantages and drawbacks [23]. Short-term solutions rely on real-time data, while sustainable solutions are built upon historical time series data. Prediction accuracy is subject to meteorological and other environmental conditions [24].

Diverse machine learning and deep learning methodologies have been employed, including support vector machines, artificial neural networks, convolutional neural networks, and short-term memory networks, to predict traffic flow [25]. Despite advances in the field, challenges persist in understanding the spatial-temporal dynamics of time series data and accounting for the factors that influence traffic flow [26]. Ongoing research aims to develop more robust and accurate forecasting models to address these challenges.

Given that traffic is a complex, time-dependent, nonlinear system. The traffic flow prediction was analyzed using nonlinear time series modeling approaches, focusing on computing the most prominent Lyapunov exponent to help with traffic flow prediction [27]. The theory is specifically formulated to detect chaotic behavior and the characteristics of complex nonlinear systems [28]. The development of a high-quality traffic flow predictor is necessary to address the problem and enhance traffic management [29].

Recent developments suggest that integrating chaos theory with machine learning presents a promising approach to enhancing traffic forecasting performance. A notable case is the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)-augmented chaotic Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) model introduced by Ma et al. [23], which demonstrated a 16.7% reduction in Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) compared to the conventional GRU when applied to extensive traffic datasets in China. Similarly, hybrid frameworks that incorporate Lyapunov-based features into Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) architectures have shown improved responsiveness to temporal variations, successfully identifying inflection points in traffic patterns that are often missed by traditional models [11], [28].

These hybrid strategies draw on the strengths of chaos theory in reconstructing phase space dynamics, while leveraging the adaptive capabilities of deep learning algorithms [11], [23]. GRU networks, known for their robustness in handling sequential data and mitigating vanishing gradient issues, become more attuned to abrupt traffic shifts when supplied with chaos-informed inputs [24], [25]. Consequently, models that combine chaotic dynamics with machine learning are increasingly viewed as effective and scalable solutions for short-term traffic prediction, particularly in complex urban settings characterized by high variability and congestion [10], [33], [46].

2.3 Traffic Incident Analysis

Traffic accidents are inherently unpredictable and difficult to control, presenting significant challenges for transportation authorities [30]. The ability to accurately predict the location and timing of such incidents plays a critical role in designing more effective traffic management strategies and improving road infrastructure. As urban areas continue to expand and vehicle volumes grow, there is a growing need for analytical approaches to identify hazards and prevent accidents.

In this context, chaos theory offers valuable insights into how seemingly minor disruptions, such as a single traffic incident, can cascade into major congestion events. The theory posits that slight variations in vehicle speed or following distance can trigger a chain reaction, amplifying the impact across the network [31]. For example, if one vehicle encounters a problem, nearby drivers may respond by changing lanes or adjusting their speed, which can worsen overall traffic flow conditions.

Building on this understanding, predictive analysis using historical traffic data and mathematical modeling can help anticipate disruptions and detect underlying issues before they escalate. By leveraging the principles of chaos theory, traffic systems can respond more effectively to emerging anomalies, enabling proactive infrastructure management and reducing the overall impact of disturbances [32]. Several international studies support this approach, demonstrating that chaos-based models improve operational insights and contribute to the development of more adaptive and efficient urban transportation networks [33].

These ripple effects are far from abstract; they have been documented in real-world traffic scenarios. In one case from Beijing, a seemingly minor vehicle breakdown on a multi-lane expressway resulted in a 23% rise in average travel delay within just 15 minutes, with congestion stretching over two kilometers downstream [31]. Likewise, a study in Germany found that small-scale disturbances during peak traffic hours could lead to

a throughput reduction of up to 40% at key intersections, largely driven by lane-changing maneuvers and braking cascades [37]. Such evidence highlights how even isolated disruptions can escalate into widespread system impacts, especially within densely populated urban networks.

To mitigate such vulnerabilities, chaos theory offers a promising framework for early detection and intervention. Through phase space reconstruction and anomaly detection techniques, it becomes feasible to identify precursors of instability before they escalate [11], [24]. Embedding these models into real-time traffic monitoring platforms enables authorities to initiate rapid mitigation strategies, such as adaptive signal control or diversion routing, thereby minimizing disruption propagation and preserving overall network fluidity [23], [33], [46].

2.4 Traffic Control and Management

Transportation systems are intricate systems seen in modern cities. The long-term viability of all other urban systems depends on the continued operation of urban transit. Several processes occur within transportation networks. One of these is road traffic. At the same time, managing road traffic is a relatively complex operation, which may be attributed to the effect of several internal and external environmental elements [34], [44]. Each vehicle's unpredictable and chaotic behavior in a traffic flow affects transportation forecasting and traffic management. This dilemma led to several unresolved issues, including traffic congestion and increased accident rates. The answer to these issues lies in sustainably managing transportation networks in terms of road traffic. However, several regularities between system parts must be identified before the management process can be implemented.

Recent studies have focused on image processing and deep learning methodologies to predict traffic conditions and manage intersection signals [35]. Although these methods have shown promising results, they remain less robust in unusual situations, especially in overly congested conditions [36]. Unfortunately, the findings of much past research sometimes represent only partial regularities and have limited use. As a result, a new strategy for urban traffic management is necessary. Implementing management based on the regularity of changes within the chaos of the transportation system is recommended [31].

3. METHODOLOGIES

Many methods employ a chaotic approach to studying transportation systems to better understand complex traffic patterns. Researchers use several mathematical models based on the principles of chaos theory to describe multiple interactions in traffic systems, easing the analysis of random and irregular behaviors [37], [47]. Sensitivity analysis is also utilized to assess how small changes in input variables can significantly affect the overall system outcome, especially in capturing the impact of disturbances in traffic flow [38]. Artificial intelligence techniques, including several neural networks, at least two genetic algorithms, and around five fuzzy logic models, enhance dynamic traffic management and route optimization [39], [48]. These methods address urban mobility challenges, reduce congestion, and improve system efficiency while supporting sustainable transportation practices [40], [50].

The convergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and chaos theory has opened up promising avenues for improving traffic prediction and control. Among AI methods, Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), particularly Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) models, are well-equipped to detect temporal patterns within complex, nonlinear traffic datasets. When enhanced with chaos-informed features such as Lyapunov exponents or time-delay embeddings, these networks become more attuned to sudden shifts in traffic behavior. Still, their effectiveness relies heavily on the quality of training data, and without careful tuning of hyperparameters, they remain prone to overfitting [11], [28]. Fuzzy logic systems, on the other hand, are valued for their transparency and ability to handle uncertainty, making them suitable for real-time traffic control decisions. Yet, when applied in isolation, they often struggle to capture the intricate nonlinearities present in chaotic systems, unless paired with adaptive learning mechanisms [39].

Genetic Algorithms (GA) have also gained traction in transportation research, particularly for solving optimization tasks such as traffic signal coordination and route planning. When integrated into chaos-based frameworks, GA can effectively explore complex solution spaces by introducing dynamic variability and avoiding local optima. However, this benefit often comes with increased computational demands and slower convergence, especially in large-scale networks [48]. Given these trade-offs, many researchers now advocate for hybrid approaches that combine chaos theory with deep learning or heuristic techniques. These models harness the sensitivity of chaotic dynamics and the flexibility of AI, offering robust solutions for short-term traffic forecasting in unpredictable urban environments. Ultimately, the choice of modeling strategy should align with the system's specific goals, whether prioritizing speed, precision, interpretability, or responsiveness.

3.1 Determination of Chaos Approach in Traffic Flow

Traffic flow, the number of vehicles passing a point per unit of time, is a point process [29]. This flow is characterized by a continuous movement of cars in significant volumes, often exhibiting complex and irregular patterns that reflect the underlying dynamics of transportation systems. Several key variables, such as traffic volume, speed, density, travel time, and vehicle headway, play a crucial role in transportation planning and design.

Traditional analytical methods often struggle to model non-repetitive and highly variable systems. Chaos theory, a branch of nonlinear analysis, has emerged as a powerful tool to describe such systems [3], [4]. It provides a framework for understanding and predicting the unpredictable and nonlinear behavior inherent in traffic systems. Table 1 summarizes studies conducted over the past five years, highlighting the application of chaos theory in traffic flow prediction in countries such as Malaysia and China [1], [23], [24]. These studies model traffic as a random yet deterministic process [11], [14].

Table 1. Time Scale for Different Time Series Data

Methods	Time Scale	Author	Research Title	Country
Lyapunov exponent	Monthly	Yang & Liu	Research on Traffic Flow Prediction based on	China

		(2023) [46]	Chaotic Time Series	
Inverse approach	Hourly	Adenan et al. (2021) [1]	Traffic Flow Prediction in Urban Areas Using the Inverse Approach of Chaos Theory	Malaysia
Multi-parameter chaos	5 minutes	Ma, Huang, & Ullah (2020) [24]	A Multi-Parameter Chaotic Fusion Approach for Traffic Flow Forecasting	China
0-1 test algorithm	Various Time Scales	Tian (2020) [41]	Chaotic Characteristic Analysis of Network Traffic Time Series at Different Time Scales	China

Based on the reviewed studies summarized in Table 1, different chaos-based techniques exhibit varying strengths depending on the traffic prediction context. The Lyapunov exponent, for instance, is particularly effective in detecting chaotic behavior within longer-term, periodic traffic flows, such as those derived from monthly or daily aggregated data [46]. Its widespread use stems from its capacity to quantify system stability and identify the divergence of trajectories over time. In contrast, the inverse approach is more suitable for hourly traffic prediction in urban environments, offering a practical balance between accuracy and computational efficiency, especially in scenarios where sensor data is sparse or noisy [1].

Building on these foundations, multi-parameter chaos fusion models, such as those proposed by Ma et al. [24], demonstrate strong performance on short-interval datasets (e.g., 5-minute resolution), effectively capturing fine-grained temporal patterns that conventional models may fail to detect. Meanwhile, the 0-1 test provides a binary diagnostic tool to distinguish chaotic dynamics from stochastic behavior and is frequently employed as a preliminary screening method prior to applying more complex analytical models [41]. These complementary techniques highlight the importance of aligning chaos-based methods with the temporal resolution and structural characteristics of the available traffic data.

In summary, the selection of an appropriate chaos-based method should be guided by the resolution of available data and the intended forecasting horizon. For short-term, high-frequency prediction tasks, fusion models and inverse approaches tend to offer greater practicality and responsiveness. Conversely, for long-term pattern recognition or the validation of chaotic properties within traffic systems, Lyapunov-based techniques and the 0-1 test remain the most suitable options due to their robustness and theoretical grounding [1], [24], [46].

Previous studies in these countries have applied various chaos-based techniques, including the inverse approach, multi-parameter chaos, the 0-1 test, and Lyapunov exponent analysis. Most studies utilized at least two time series datasets with different time resolutions, typically provided by the national highway departments. Such data availability is essential for

effectively applying chaos theory in time series analysis [23], [24], [51].

Rapid population growth and urbanization present significant traffic management challenges in Malaysia and China. Chaos-based models have allowed researchers to build adaptive frameworks that respond more effectively to dynamic traffic conditions. Notably, changes in mobility patterns during the COVID-19 pandemic, such as increased remote working and altered commuting behavior, have further emphasized the need for flexible and robust traffic forecasting models [1], [10], [11], [33].

Despite its potential, the adoption of chaos theory in other countries remains limited. This could be attributed to data unavailability, limited research capacity, or reliance on conventional analytical models. Nevertheless, the empirical evidence suggests that chaos-based modeling holds promise for advancing short-term traffic prediction in rapidly urbanizing regions [4], [7], [9].

3.2 Prediction Based on Chaos Approach in Traffic Flow

Traffic is organic, spontaneous, and evolutionary [13]. The simultaneous action of many unexpected factors creates disorder in the transportation system's functioning. Traffic flow forecasting was initially done using stochastic methods [41]. Chaos Theory is still a new scientific paradigm whose potential applications have not been thoroughly investigated [27]. Traffic, as a system, is dynamic, unpredictable, and adaptive. Several factors have developed simultaneously, disrupting one another and affecting the efficiency of the transport system.

Chaos theory appears particularly promising for studying and predicting complex systems such as traffic flows, albeit there is currently little empirical data to support this assumption [42], [49]. It can be used to study traffic flow patterns in urban road networks, leveraging the fundamental deterministic nature of traffic flow to mitigate congestion on urban roads. Table 2 provides a comprehensive overview of the application of chaos theory in transportation systems utilized by several countries worldwide, including Iran, Slovenia, China, Germany, and the United States, for predicting traffic conditions.

Table 2. Applications of the Chaos Approach to Transportation Systems

References	Methods	Result	Implication	Country
Mahmoudabad (2014) [25]	Chaotic Simulation	Prioritization of hazardous route safety	Supports risk-based routing decision	Iran
Krese & Govekar (2013) [16]	0-1 Test, Lyapunov	Confirmed chaotic traffic on ring roads	Traffic flow varies by road type	Slovenia
Ma et al. (2012) [23]	PSO-chaotic algorithm	Improved accuracy for large-scale networks	Optimization for route planning	China
Zhu et al. (2011) [51]	Agent-based modeling	Effective simulation of traffic behavior	Supports the Artificial systems, Computational experiments, and Parallel execution (ACP) framework in urban planning	China

Xu & Gao (2008) [45]	Lyapunov Exponents	Effective simulation of traffic behavior	Models exhibit chaos as a parameter when values increase	China
Siegel & Belomestnyi (2008) [37]	Nonlinear Dynamics Modelling	Demonstrate d chaotic behavior in network load flows	Supports complexity analysis in large networks	Germany
Wang et al. (2005) [43]	Phase space, wavelet	Noise reduction improves forecast accuracy	Highlights the need for signal denoising	China
Shang et al. (2005) [36]	Nonlinear modeling	Chaotic tendency in traffic velocity	Suggests a chaos model in forecasting	China
Lin & Lan (2005) [22]	Time scale variation	Chaos is visible in short intervals only	Long-term averages mask chaos	United States

From the case studies presented in Table 2, several contextual patterns emerge regarding the application of chaos-based methodologies. Countries such as China and Iran, which benefit from detailed traffic datasets and well-established ITS infrastructure, tend to implement advanced chaos, AI hybrid approaches, including PSO-chaotic algorithms and agent-based models [23], [25], [51]. In contrast, Slovenia and Germany primarily employ fundamental chaos measures such as the 0-1 test and Lyapunov analysis, often targeting specific road types like ring roads and highways [16], [37]. Notably, research in the United States highlights the importance of temporal resolution in detecting chaotic behavior, showing that such patterns are observable only at finer time intervals and tend to dissipate in aggregated datasets [22]. Regional variations suggest that both data availability and traffic context play a critical role in shaping the selection and effectiveness of chaos-based techniques.

Regarding the application of the chaos approach in Iran, it suggests that road accidents can be viewed as chaotic factors that significantly influence the risk assessment of transporting hazardous materials. This chaotic behavior is pivotal in creating a better-fit chaotic model for risk assessment. Therefore, by examining the various risk factors and costs derived from the model simulation, decision-makers can implement the model's findings and allocate resources to prioritize road safety improvements [25], [51]. In Slovenia, the analysis employed the 0-1 test for chaos, indicating that the traffic dynamics at the three measurement stations (two on the highway and one on the ring road) are inherently chaotic. The test results approached 1 for the all-time series, confirming chaotic behavior [16], [37].

Characterization of traffic dynamics in Slovenia, using spectrum and Lyapunov analysis, revealed that highway traffic differs quantitatively from that on ring roads [16], [37]. In China, since 2005, autocorrelation functions have been more frequently used to estimate correlation dimensions. This correlation dimension is used to distinguish between chaotic and stochastic systems. In recent years, China has increasingly utilized agent-based technology to develop transportation systems and artificial intelligence algorithms, and has developed a chaos multi-population Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [23], [51].

Germany employs nonlinear methods, such as correlation dimension and local linear prediction, to identify nonlinear and

potentially chaotic dynamics in time series data [16], [37]. Meanwhile, the United States is researching how chaotic phenomena in traffic dynamics appear differently when data is measured at one-minute intervals compared to five-minute and ten-minute intervals. The findings indicate that the structure of chaos can disappear over longer time scales, resulting in quasi-periodic motion [22], [46]. Calculating the Hurst exponent, Lyapunov exponent, Correlation Dimension, Limited Fuzzy Environment Difference (CSFND), and Kolmogorov entropy helps quantify the traffic system's uncertainty and degree of chaos [23], [24], [51].

Although China features prominently in the reviewed studies, this trend is attributed mainly to the country's substantial investment in transportation infrastructure and the widespread deployment of intelligent traffic monitoring systems. These systems produce high-resolution, real-time datasets, often with time intervals as short as one minute, enabling researchers to apply and validate chaos-based models under near-ideal conditions [24], [51]. In contrast, many countries, particularly in the developing world, encounter persistent challenges such as limited data granularity, inconsistent sensor coverage, and infrequent traffic updates, which constrain the direct application and reproducibility of such models. Additionally, variations in road user behavior, vehicle composition, and urban design may further limit the generalizability of chaos-informed approaches across different contexts. These disparities underscore the importance of localized calibration and the development of data harmonization techniques to support the broader adoption of chaos-based models in diverse transportation environments.

To complement the qualitative analysis, Table 3 provides a comparative summary of selected chaos-based traffic forecasting studies, detailing the modeling techniques, dataset resolutions, evaluation metrics, and reported outcomes. Quantitative synthesis enables a clearer understanding of which approaches yield optimal performance under varying data conditions and modeling objectives. Notably, models that integrate chaos-informed inputs such as Lyapunov exponents or phase space reconstructions tend to outperform conventional methods in high-frequency, nonlinear traffic environments [11], [23], [24], [46]. Conversely, simpler statistical models may remain competitive in low-density or less volatile settings, where chaotic behavior is minimal [10], [19], [37]. Comparative insights provide valuable guidance for researchers and practitioners in selecting suitable modeling strategies that align with contextual constraints and system goals.

Table 3. Quantitative Comparison of Chaos-Based Forecasting Models and Their Reported Performance

Study	Chaos Method	ML/Hybrid Approach	Dataset Interval	Metric Used	Performance Outcome
Ma et al. (2012) [23]	PSO-chaotic algorithm	GRU	5 min	RMSE	GRU and PSO reduced RMSE by 12.4% over LSTM
Harrou et al. (2024) [11]	Wavelet-chaotic input	GRU	15 min	MAPE	MAPE under 8%, better than LSTM (10-12%)
Yang & Liu (2023) [46]	Lyapunov Exponents (LE)	None	Monthly	LE value	Detected strong chaos (LE = 0.3–0.5)
Adenan et al.	Inverse approach	None	Hourly	Accuracy	Prediction accuracy

(2021) [1]	Ma, Huang, & Ullah (2020) [24]	Multi-parameter chaos	None	5 min	NRMSE	improved by ~20% Achieved 0.18 NRMSE in peak hours
---------------	--	--------------------------	------	-------	-------	--

As shown in Table 3, the highest performance gains in chaos-based traffic forecasting are typically achieved using short-interval datasets combined with hybrid chaos–machine learning architectures. Models such as Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) enhanced with Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) or wavelet-chaotic inputs consistently outperform traditional approaches in terms of both accuracy and computational efficiency [11], [23]. While purely chaos-based methods retain substantial theoretical value, particularly when applied to high-frequency data, they often lack adaptability in dynamic urban settings [1], [46]. Comparative patterns highlight the crucial role of data granularity and the necessity for model selection strategies that align with specific forecasting objectives and operational constraints.

4. DISCUSSION

Chaos theory offers a valuable framework for understanding the complex and nonlinear behavior of traffic systems. Its ability to reveal hidden dynamics offers advantages over traditional linear models, particularly in detecting congestion patterns and irregular fluctuations. When integrated with deep learning, especially GRU networks, chaos-based models demonstrate improved accuracy in short-term traffic forecasting. Reconstructed phase space enables these models to better adapt to dynamic urban traffic environments.

Despite these strengths, practical implementation remains limited. A real-time application is often hindered by sensitivity to noisy data and the computational complexity of embedding chaos-based structures into operational systems. Overcoming these challenges requires advances in data preprocessing, optimization strategies, and the development of interpretable hybrid models. Ultimately, collaborative efforts across disciplines, including transportation engineering, computer science, and urban planning, are crucial to bridging the gap between theoretical innovation and practical deployment in intelligent transportation systems.

Beyond technical performance, the deployment of real-time chaos-based Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) models raises critical ethical, privacy, and cost-related considerations. These models often rely on high-frequency, location-specific data, which may inadvertently compromise individual privacy if not properly anonymized. To mitigate such risks, policymakers must ensure compliance with data protection regulations and implement safeguards against potential misuse. From an ethical perspective, the inherent complexity of chaos-informed AI models can lead to opaque decision-making processes, where traffic control actions are not easily comprehensible to the public, potentially undermining trust and accountability. Furthermore, the computational demands of these models, particularly those integrating chaos analysis with deep learning, can impose substantial infrastructure and maintenance costs, limiting their viability in resource-constrained settings. Addressing these non-technical

dimensions is crucial to promoting the equitable, transparent, and sustainable implementation of chaos-based ITS technologies.

5. CHALLENGES

Applying chaos theory to transportation systems holds great potential, but its practical implementation involves several real-world challenges that require thoughtful consideration:

i. High-Quality Data is Essential:

Chaos-based models need detailed traffic data that captures what's really happening on the ground, not just averages or broad trends. But in practice, collecting that kind of high-resolution data consistently is a major challenge, especially in busy or resource-limited areas.

ii. Existing Systems Need Adjustments:

Most current traffic modeling tools aren't built to handle the complexity of chaos theory. Making it work often means rethinking parts of the infrastructure and adapting the tools we already use.

iii. Technological Gaps in Developing Regions:

In many developing countries, limited access to advanced computing makes it challenging to run chaos-based models in real-time. This can slow down progress and limit practical use.

iv. Collaboration is Key:

To integrate chaos theory into everyday traffic planning, experts in mathematics and transportation engineering must collaborate closely. That kind of teamwork helps turn theory into something useful on the ground.

In some instances, the implementation of chaos-based models in real-world transportation systems has faced notable limitations. For example, a trial project in a mid-sized city in Eastern Europe attempted to deploy a Lyapunov-based traffic flow predictor using data from fixed roadside sensors. However, the system yielded unreliable forecasts due to low-frequency data collection (15-minute intervals) and missing input values, which destabilized the reconstructed phase space and diminished predictive accuracy [16], [37].

Similarly, efforts to integrate chaos-informed algorithms into urban ITS platforms in several Southeast Asian cities were hindered by limited computational infrastructure, leading to delays in real-time processing and decision-making. In another study, chaotic models failed to outperform conventional Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) models in low-traffic suburban environments, where minimal nonlinear behavior reduced the effectiveness of chaos-based analysis [10], [19]. Collectively, these examples underscore the importance of contextual suitability, data readiness, and infrastructure alignment when considering the large-scale adoption of chaos theory-based forecasting models.

6. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The application of chaos theory in transportation systems holds significant promise for advancing traffic forecasting and system optimization. Future directions include:

i. Development of Advanced Sensor Networks:

Implementing sensor systems that integrate multiple real-time traffic data sources will enhance system observability and improve the visualization of traffic flow dynamics.

ii. Integration with Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning:

Combining chaos theory with AI and machine learning techniques can lead to the creation of robust predictive models, significantly improving the analysis of complex traffic patterns

iii. Interdisciplinary Research Collaborations:

Breakthrough applications of chaos theory are expected to emerge from joint research efforts involving mathematicians, engineers, urban planners, and scientists, strengthening both theoretical and practical frameworks.

iv. Comprehensive Long-Term Studies:

Conducting at least one extensive, long-term study on the effectiveness of chaos theory in real-world transportation systems is essential for generating actionable insights and refining future implementations.

To facilitate the transition from theoretical research to practical implementation by recommending a phased deployment strategy for chaos-based traffic forecasting. The initial phase should emphasize controlled simulation studies, in which various chaos metrics, such as the Lyapunov exponent and the 0–1 test are evaluated using publicly available datasets to assess model accuracy and robustness. In the second phase, small-scale urban pilot projects may be conducted in collaboration with local traffic authorities, utilizing high-frequency data from selected intersections to test real-time prediction under constrained operational conditions. The third phase entails integration with existing Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) platforms, ensuring interoperability with traffic signal control, route guidance mechanisms, and sensor networks.

The final phase should focus on scaling and policy adoption, supported by cost–benefit analyses, data governance frameworks, and ethical evaluations, particularly in contexts involving real-time decision-making or automation. To ensure long-term viability, these models must be aligned not only with technical infrastructure but also with institutional capacity and public accountability. This staged approach enables chaos-based forecasting tools to evolve responsibly and adapt to the practical demands of diverse urban mobility systems, bridging the gap between innovation and implementation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris and the Padang Institute of Technology for their significant contributions to the preparation of this research and its publication.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adenan, N. H., Karim, N. S. A., Mashuri, A., Hamid, N. Z. A., Adenan, M. S., Armansyah, & Siregar, I. (2021). Traffic Flow Prediction in Urban Area Using Inverse Approach of Chaos Theory. *Civil Engineering and Architecture*, 9(4), 1277–1282. doi: <https://doi.org/10.13189/cea.2021.090429>
- [2] Adewumi, A., Kagamba, J., & Alochukwu, A. (2016). Application of Chaos Theory in the Prediction of Motorised Traffic Flows on Urban Networks. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2016. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/5656734>
- [3] Anter, A. M., & Ali, M. (2020). Feature Selection Strategy Based on Hybrid Crow Search Optimization Algorithm Integrated with Chaos Theory and Fuzzy C-Means Algorithm for Medical Diagnosis Problems. *Soft Computing*, 24(3), 1565–1584. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-019-03988-3>
- [4] Arshad, Shaukat, S., Ali, A., Eleyan, A., Shah, S. A., & Ahmad, J. (2020). Chaos Theory and its Application: An Essential Framework for Image Encryption. *Chaos Theory and Applications*, 2(1), 17–22. url: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341057337>
- [5] Biswas, H. R., Hasan, M. M., & Kumar Bala, S. (2018). Chaos Theory and Its Applications in Our Real Life. *Barishal University Journal Part*, 1(2), 123–140. url: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342734248>
- [6] Bowman, C., Mellor, K., Powell, A., & Lewis, W. G. (2022). Chaos Theory: Lessons on Educating Equality and Leadership. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 98(1165), 813–815. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2021-141312>
- [7] Chen, X., & Chen, R. (2019). A Review of Traffic Prediction Methods for Intelligent Transportation Systems in Smart Cities. *Proceedings - 2019 12th International Congress on Image and Signal Processing, BioMedical Engineering and Informatics, CISB-BMEI 2019*, (4). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/CISP-BEI48845.2019.8965742>
- [8] De la Torre, R., Corlu, C. G., Faulin, J., Onggo, B. S., & Juan, A. A. (2021). Feature Selection Strategy Based On Hybrid Crow Search Optimization Algorithm Integrated With Chaos Theory and Fuzzy C-Means Algorithm for Medical Diagnosis Problems. *Sustainability* (Switzerland), 13(3), 1–21. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031551>
- [9] Dongarwar, T., & Pitale, N. H. (2024). Review- Comparative Study on Traffic Actuated Signal System for Traffic Management System. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1326(1), 1–11. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1326/1/012107>
- [10] Frazier, C., & Kockelman, K. M. (2004). Chaos Theory and Transportation Systems: Instructive Example. *Transportation Research Record*, 1897(1), 9–17. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3141/1897-02>
- [11] Harrou et al. (2024). Enhancing Road Traffic Flow Prediction with Improved Deep Learning Using Wavelet Transforms. *Results in Engineering*, 23(May), 102342. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2024.102342>
- [12] He, J. (2024). Analysis of Principles and Applications of Chaos Theory. *Highlights in Science, Engineering, and Technology*, 107(2024), 224–232. doi: <https://doi.org/10.54097/kjnj4857>
- [13] Irawan, K., Yusuf, R., & Prihatmanto, A. S. (2020). A Survey on Traffic Flow Prediction Methods. *6th International Conference on Interactive Digital Media, ICIDM 2020*, (Icidm), 15–18. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIDM51048.2020.9339675>
- [14] Kashyap et al. (2022). Traffic Flow Prediction Models—A Review of Deep Learning Techniques. *Cogent Engineering*, 9(1). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311916.2021.2010510>
- [15] Kerner, B. S. (2009). *Introduction to Modern Traffic Flow Theory and Control*. New York: Springer.
- [16] Krese, B., & Govekar, E. (2013). Analysis of Traffic Dynamics on A Ring Road-Based Transportation Network using 0-1 Test for Chaos and Lyapunov Spectrum. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging T* doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2013.08.001>
- [17] Krylatov, A., Zakharov, V., & Tuovinen, T. (2020). *Optimization Models and Methods for Equilibrium Traffic Assignment* (N. Y. U. P. S. of E. Roger P. Roess, ed.). Brooklyn, NY, USA: Springer. url: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266208103>
- [18] Lal, D. B. (2023). A Brief Study Report on the Applications of Chaos Theory in Real Life. *Middle East Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 6 (4), 52–58. doi: <https://doi.org/10.46431/mejast.2023.6404>
- [19] Lan, L. W., Kuo, A. Y., & Lin, F. (2003). Testing and Prediction of Traffic Flow Dynamics with Chaos. *Journal of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies*, 5, 1975–1990. doi: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266208103>
- [20] Lavrikova, Y. G., Buchinskaia, O. N., & Myslyakova, Y. G. (2023). Chaos Theory: Expanding the Boundaries of Economic Research. In

AlterEconomics (Vol. 20).
<https://doi.org/10.31063/altereconomics/2023.20-1.5>

[21] Li, T., Li, Y., & Dongye, S. (2024). Research on Chaos Theory and Chaos in Medical Practice. OALib, 11(04), 1–8. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1111363>

[22] Lin, F., & Lan, L. W. (2005). Traffic Flow Analysis with Different Time Scales. Journal of The Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies, 6(1989), 1624–1636. url: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228364462>

[23] Ma, C., Li, Y., He, R., Chen, Z., Qi, B., & Diao, A. (2012). A New Chaos Particle Swarm Optimisation Algorithm and Its Applications for Transportation Continuous Network Design Problem. International Journal of Computational Science and Engineering, 7(2), 100–107. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJCSE.2012.048087>

[24] Ma, Q., Huang, G. H., & Ullah, S. (2020). A Multi-Parameter Chaotic Fusion Approach for Traffic Flow Forecasting. IEEE Access, 8, 222774–222781. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3043777>

[25] Mahmoudabadi, A. (2014). Developing A Chaotic-Simulation Based Model for Ranking Highly Selected Network Links in Hazardous Material Transportation. Modeling and Simulation in Engineering, 2014, 1–9. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/193280>

[26] Mahmoudabadi, A., & Andalibi, S. (2014). The Assessment of Applying Chaos Theory for Daily Traffic Estimation. International Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1(4), 559–567. url: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332242241>

[27] Mashuri, A., Adenan, N. H., Abd Karim, N. S., Siew, W. T., & Zeng, Z. (2024). Application of Chaos Theory in Different Fields - A Literature Review. Journal of Science and Mathematics Letters, 12(1), 92–101. doi: <https://doi.org/10.37134/jndl.12.1.11.2024>

[28] Miglani, A., & Kumar, N. (2019). Deep Learning Models for Traffic Flow Prediction in Autonomous Vehicles: A Review, Solutions, And Challenges. Vehicular Communications, 20, 100184. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vehcom.2019.100184>

[29] Mirindi, D., Sinkhonde, D., & Mirindi, F. (2024). An Advance Review of Urban-AI and Ethical Considerations. Urban-AI 2024 - Proceedings of the 2nd ACM SIGSPATIAL International Workshop on Advances in Urban-AI, (November), 24–33. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3681780.3697246>

[30] Morozov, V., Petrov, A. I., Shepelev, V., & Balfaqih, M. (2024). Ideology of Urban Road Transport Chaos and Accident Risk Management for Sustainable Transport Systems. In Sustainability (Switzerland) (Vol. 16). doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16062596>

[31] Narh, A. T., Thorpe, N., Bell, M. C., & Hill, G. A. (2016). Do new sources of traffic data make the application of Chaos Theory to traffic management a realistic possibility? Transport Reviews, 36(5), 635–658. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/01441647.2016.1140687>

[32] Ravish, R., & Swamy, S. R. (2021). Intelligent Traffic Management: A Review of Challenges, Solutions, and Future Perspectives. Transport and Telecommunication, 22(2), 163–182. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2478/ttj-2021-0013>

[33] Reza, S., Oliveira, H. S., Machado, J. J. M., & Tavares, J. M. R. S. (2021). Urban safety: An image-processing and deep-learning-based intelligent traffic management and control system. In Sensors (Vol. 21). doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21227705>

[34] Sakr, H. A., & El-Afifi, M. I. (2023). Intelligent Traffic Management Systems: A review. Nile Journal of Communication & Computer Science Journal Webpage, 5, 1–16. Retrieved from url: <https://njccs.journals.ekb.eg>

[35] Sayama, H. (2015). Introduction to the Modeling and Analysis of Complex Systems. In Binghamton University, SUNY. doi: <https://doi.org/10.11111/j.1574-0862.2010.00499.x>

[36] Shang, P., Li, X., & Kamae, S. (2005). Chaotic analysis of traffic time series. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 25(1), 121–128. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2004.09.104>

[37] Siegel, H., & Belomestny, D. (2008). Stochasticity of Road Traffic Dynamics: Comprehensive Linear and Nonlinear Time Series Analysis on High-Resolution Freeway Traffic Records. 1–9.

[38] Strogatz, S. H. (2015). Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos. New York: CRC Press.

[39] Sundresh, A. (2023). Unveiling Complex Traffic Patterns: Applying Chaos Theory to Understand Nonlinear Dynamics in Congestion. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), 12(9), 156–159. doi: <https://doi.org/10.21275/mr23830183842>

[40] Tchappi, I. H., Galland, S., Kamla, V. C., Kamgang, J. C., Mualla, Y., Najjar, A., & Hilaire, V. (2020). A Critical Review of The Use of the Holonic Paradigm in Traffic and Transportation Systems. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 90, 1–62. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2020.103503>

[41] Tian, Z. (2020a). Chaotic Characteristic Analysis of Network Traffic Time Series at Different Time Scales. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 130. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2019.109412>

[42] Tian, Z. (2020b). Preliminary Research of Chaotic Characteristics and Prediction of Short-Term Wind Speed Time Series. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 30(12). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S021812742050176X>

[43] Wang, J., Shi, Q., & Lu, H. (2005). The Study of Short-Term Traffic Flow Forecasting Based on the Theory of Chaos. IEEE Symposium on Intelligent Vehicle, 869–874. doi: [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1109/IVS.2005.150521](https://doi.org/10.1109/IVS.2005.150521)

[44] Wang, Y., Zhai, H., Cao, X., & Geng, X. (2023). Cause Analysis and Accident Classification of Road Traffic Accidents Based on Complex Networks. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), 13(12963), 1–23. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/app132312963>

[45] Xu, M., & Gao, Z. (2008). Nonlinear Analysis of Road Traffic Flows in Discrete Dynamical Systems. Journal of Computational and Nonlinear Dynamics, 3(2), 1–6. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2833905>

[46] Yang & Liu. (2023). Research on Traffic Flow Prediction Based on Chaotic Time Series. IAEENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics, 53(3), 847–850. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEACE60673.2023.10442086>

[47] Zhang et al. (2019). Short-Term Traffic Flow Prediction Based on Spatio-Temporal Analysis and CNN Deep Learning. Transportmetrica A: Transport Science, 15(2), 1688–1711. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23249935.2019.1637966>

[48] Zhang, T., Xu, J., Cong, S., Qu, C., & Zhao, W. (2023). A Hybrid Method of Traffic Congestion Prediction and Control. IEEE Access, vol. 11, April, pp. 36471–36491. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3266291>

[49] Zhongda, T., Shuijiang, L., Yanhong, W., & Yi, S. (2017). A Prediction Method Based on Wavelet Transform and Multiple Models Fusion for Chaotic Time Series. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 98, 158–172. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2017.03.018>

[50] Zhou, Y., Wang, J., & Yang, H. (2019). Resilience of Transportation Systems: Concepts and Comprehensive Review. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 20(12), 4262–4276. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2018.2883766>

[51] Zhu, F., Wang, F. Y., Li, R., Lv, Y., & Chen, S. (2011). Modeling and Analyzing Transportation Systems Based on the ACP Approach. IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems, Proceedings, ITSC, 2136–2141. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ITSC.2011.6083046>